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10.1 Introduction

A male’s heritable viability is often advertised through sexual signals (Fisher, 1930; Zahavi, 1975; 1977) whose reliability is likely maintained via resource allocation trade-offs (Gustafsson et al., 1995, Moller & de Lope, 1995). Consistent with this, males with larger ornaments or weapons, greater body size, or higher rates of courtship showed greater survivorship or longevity (Jennions et al., 2001). Positive relationships between a signal and viability are thought to be due to condition-dependent (a male’s state prior to signal development) expression of sexual signals (Bonduriansky & Rowe, 2005; Kokko & Heubel, 2008; Radwan et al., 2006; Tomkins et al., 2004; Zahavi, 1977). However, male viability and condition also depend on the environment and a male’s signal is only reliable when the signal/viability phenotypic correlation remains consistent across environments (Greenfield & Rodriguez, 2004). Spatial or temporal environmental heterogeneity can result in genotype by environment interactions (GEI) which result in some sexual traits performing optimally in certain environments, whereas other genotypes excel in different environments (reviewed by Bussiere et al., 2008; Ingleby et al., 2010) as exemplified by research on the lesser waxmoth, *Achroia grisella* (Danielson-Francois et al., 2006; 2009;
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Greenfield & Rodriguez, 2004; Greenfield et al., 2012; Jia et al., 2000; Rodriguez & Greenfield, 2003; Zhou et al., 2008). Furthermore, if the reaction norms of different genotypes cross, such that the genotype with higher values in one environment has values lower than other genotypes in other environments (Roff, 1997), the relative fitness ranking of genotypes may change across environments (Fry, 1996; Gillespie & Turelli, 1989). These reaction norm crossovers likely occur as a female may still show a preference for superior males, but the preferred signal has transferred to another genotype. Thus, selection favors different genotypes in different environments. As there is a heritable component to both the male signal and the female preference for it (Hoffmann, 1991; Houde, 1992, and reviewed by, e.g., Bakker & Pomiankowski, 1995; Kokko et al., 2003), a genetic correlation between the male signal and female mate preference will likely have been generated by linkage disequilibrium accrued during non-random mating (Fisher, 1930). In the case of crossing reaction norms, although the male’s signal and heritable viability would remain the same, the genotype would not be paired assortatively with a female’s preference, thereby breaking built up linkage and reducing genetic covariance between the signal and preference traits in the population (Greenfield & Rodriguez, 2004; Jia et al., 2000). Such an outcome would have significant consequences for the overall strength of sexual selection.

In this chapter, we introduce the bank vole, *Myodes glareolus*, and describe the environmental fluctuations to which the species is subjected. We then outline its mating system, the heritability and reliability of male signals and intralocus sexual conflict. We will then describe the presence of GEI for male dominance and highlight the impacts of signal unreliability in terms of the evolution of female mate preference, and the genetic covariance between the signal and preference. Finally, we discuss various mechanisms that might mitigate these impacts.

10.2 The bank vole

The bank vole, *Myodes glareolus*, (Figure 10.1a/Plate 6) is a common northern European rodent (Stenseth, 1985), which inhabits forests and fields, feeding mostly on plants, seeds, fungi, and even invertebrates (Hansson, 1985). The life-history pattern of the bank vole is characterized by a young age at maturation (Mappes & Koskela, 2004; Oksanen et al., 2007), and a short lifespan of only three to five months on average (Innes & Millar, 1994) where only a fraction of individuals survive over one winter (Macdonald, 2001; Ostfeld, 1985; Prévot-Julliard et al., 1999).

A bank vole’s survival is compromised by multiple factors including ecto- and endoparasites, disease, starvation, and predation (Kallio et al., 2007; Norrdahl & Korpimäki, 1995; Soveri et al., 2000). For instance, Puumala Hantavirus, *Ixodes*-tick transmitted pathogens, helminthes, and coccidiosis are all pathogens that compromise bank vole survival (Hakkarainen et al., 2007; Haukisalmi & Henttonen, 2000; Kallio et al., 2007; Soveri et al., 2000). Genetic disease resistance and immune response therefore have important consequences for survival and thus, fitness in this species.
Fig. 10.1  (a) The study species, the bank vole, *Myodes glareolus*. (Source: Photo by and reproduced with permission of Heikki Helle.) For color details, please see Plate 6. (b) The study area, Konnesvesi, is shown with a circle in the inserted picture of Finland in Scandinavia. The main map shows the vole trapping locations. Locations 1–20 (marked with circles) are the mainland sampling sites, and locations 21–45 (marked with rectangles) are the island sampling sites. This figure has been reproduced with permission from Rikalainen *et al.* (manuscript in prep). (c) The trapping index of bank voles in Central Finland during 1996–2009 (trapping index = captured individuals/100 trap nights, monthly data are interpolated from the trappings carried out four times per year, trappings are indicated with diamonds). The six analyzed cycle phases are indicated with light (peak years) and dark (crash years) bars. (Source: Rikalainen *et al.* 2012. © Rikalainen *et al./CC-BY-SA-3.0.)
10.2.1 Environmental heterogeneity

Popularized by lemming populations (Elton, 1924), small mammals are renowned for the density dependent oscillations in their numbers (Krebs & Myers, 1974). A time-series study of a bank vole population covering approximately 100 km², has been carried out in Konnevesi, Central Finland (62°37’N, 26°20’E) since 1996 (Kallio et al., 2009) (Figure 10.1b). The size of this population oscillated in three successive cycles with three density peaks and crashes during the years 1996–2009 (Figure 10.1c) (Rikalainen et al., 2012). In addition to these 3–4 year cycles, bank voles experience annual/seasonal density cycles, which peak in the summer or autumn and crash in the spring (Koivula et al., 2003). These seasonal and multi-annual cyclic fluctuations in population density cause temporal environmental heterogeneity (i.e., temporally varying selection, e.g., Roff, 1997) that are predicted to favor different alleles (and possibly further, even different genotypes, Chitty, 1967), during different phases of the population cycle (Mappes et al., 2008a). The density-related changes faced by individual voles in their environment during their lifetime, including availability of food resources, free breeding territories, and pathogen pressure, have been found to affect multiple vole life-history traits (e.g., Beckerman et al., 2002; Huitu et al., 2003; 2007; Koskela et al., 1998; Prévot-Julliard et al., 1999; Soveri et al., 2000, see, however, Eccard & Ylonen, 2001).

10.2.2 Female reproductive success

Bank voles have a high fecundity (1–10 pups per litter, average = 4.4–5.6 pups; Koivula et al., 2003; Mappes & Koskela, 2004; Schroderus et al., 2012),
short gestation period (3 weeks), and they typically breed in post-partum estrus, resulting in up to four breeding events within the same reproductive season (Koivula et al., 2003). In Central Finland, reproduction occurs from May until mid-September (Koivula et al., 2003), and the first cohorts of the breeding season reproduce during their first year (Mappes et al., 1995b), whilst late summer cohorts usually delay reproduction until the following breeding season. Therefore, successful overwintering is crucial for their fitness (Prévot-Julliard et al., 1999).

Competition between territorial bank vole females is a major mechanism determining their breeding success, which, especially at high densities, leads to large variation in the relative fitness of individuals (Jonsson et al., 2002; Koskela et al., 1999; Oksanen et al., 2007). Together, these selective environments could facilitate the origin and existence of alternative behavioral (Mappes et al., 2012; Tuomi et al., 1997) or life-history tactics (Kaitala et al., 1997; Mappes et al., 2008b) whose success would depend both on the current environment and the frequency of alternative life-history tactics in the population. Negative frequency-dependent and density-dependent selection on different breeding tactics in bank voles suggest that females with low reproductive effort (RE) are favored at low densities (e.g., after a population crash), whereas females with high RE are most successful when rare in high density populations (e.g., peak years) (Mappes et al., 2008b).

10.2.3 Male signals, heritability, and reliability

Males provide no material resources to the females or offspring (Mazurkiewicz, 1971). Males do not express elaborate ornaments. Instead, direct male–male competition for sexually receptive females is one of the major mechanisms of sexual selection in the bank vole (Hoffmeyer, 1982; Oksanen et al., 1999). In a similar manner to mice, olfactory signaling is also an important sexual signal in bank voles (Fischer et al., 2003; Gosling & Roberts, 2001). Preputial gland products, mixed with urine during scent-marking (Brown & Williams, 1972), are the source of bank vole sex-attractants (Kruczek, 1994). Males use urine to both signal their dominance in male-male competition (Brinck & Hoffmeyer, 1974), and to advertise their social status to females who show preferences for dominant males (Horne & Ylönen, 1996, Klemme et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2012) and with large preputial glands (Kruczek, 1997).

Due to their short lifespan, reproductive success in the field can be interpreted as male fitness, and correlates with plasma testosterone (T) levels (Mills et al., 2007b), as does male dominance in the laboratory (Box 10.1) (Mills et al., 2007a). Exogenous T implants increased dominance in the laboratory, and increased home ranges and reproductive success in semi-natural populations (Mills et al., 2009). Male bank voles show a steep Bateman gradient resulting in persistent directional sexual selection for increased mating success and increased T (Mills et al., 2007b). Furthermore, the preputial gland is also T-dependent (Radwan et al., 2006), therefore, strong selection on T will affect male mating success through its influence on both intra- and inter-sexual selection. T also
plays an important role in spermatogenesis (mice and rats, Singh & Handelsman, 1996; Spaliviero et al., 2004; Sriraman et al., 2004), therefore it may also be acting on internal male reproductive traits such as sperm characteristics. Owing to overlapping insemination in this polygynandrous mating system, with up to three fathers siring litters at male-biased sex ratios (Klemme et al., 2008; Mills et al., 2007b), male–male competition may therefore be as intense at the ejaculate, as at the population level (Klemme & Firman, 2013).

**Box 10.1 Measuring male bank vole dominance**

Male mating success was measured in the laboratory in which two males and one female in estrus were released into an arena (1 × 1m) and observations made until ejaculation occurred (Oksanen et al., 1999). In addition to urine marking and defecation, males indicate either aggressive or defensive behaviors within 5 min. Normally the aggressive male then courted the female with successful copulation once the female performed lordosis, whereas the other male retreated to the arena corner. Escalated male aggression only occurred when both males attempted to court the female. The male that successfully mated was considered dominant. Since the success of each male is dependent on the dominance of the other males allotted as his opponents, we corrected the initial dominance score (proportion of contests won) by the success of the opponents. Initial scores were corrected using the equation derived from Alatalo et al. (1991),

\[
Q = \frac{F_n_F}{F_n_F + (1 - S) n_S},
\]

where \(Q\) is the corrected dominance estimate, \(F\) and \(S\) are the mean uncorrected initial dominance scores of the opponents who either failed to copulate \((F)\) or copulated successfully \((S)\); \(n_F\) and \(n_S\) are the number of unsuccessful and successful opponents respectively. In this way, the corrected dominance estimate of males that beat successful opponents will increase, whereas the corrected dominance estimate of males that lost to less successful males will be reduced.

However, tight linkage between two immunological traits and male T level in the bank vole indicates that selection for higher T level in males will compromise the function of the immune system in both sexes (Schroderus et al., 2010). Therefore, the reliability of dominance advertisement is not only enforced through social costs during competition, but also via a trade-off with immune response (Box 10.2: Mills et al., 2009; 2010). Furthermore, energetic costs associated with investment in olfactory signaling were found in male bank voles suggesting that olfactory signaling is also a reliable indicator of male quality (Radwan et al., 2006).
Box 10.2 Measuring the immune response in bank voles

An effective method for measuring the immune system is problematic due to the large number of interrelated immune components, as unexpected negative or positive correlations might arise when only a single immune measure is measured (Norris & Evans, 2000; Zuk & Stoehr, 2002). Therefore, we have developed three measures in the bank vole.

Firstly, circulating immunoglobulin G (total IgG level) was developed in the bank vole (Oksanen et al., 2003). Total IgG level is one measure of the innate immune system, a vole’s first line of defense against pathogens that aims to neutralize pathogens before a specific immune response is triggered, representing a state of immunological readiness (Greives et al., 2006).

Secondly, by experimentally challenging the immune system with novel antigens and measuring concomitant host specific antibody titers against these antigens, one gets a good estimate of host resistance to a variety of pathogens (Hasselquist et al., 1999; Svensson & Skarstein, 1997; Svensson et al., 1998). A measure of humoral adaptive immunity, anti-bovine gamma globulin (BGG) antibody production (reflecting the resources put into the production of specific antibodies in response to the novel antigen injected, BGG), was established in the bank vole (Mills et al., 2009; Oksanen et al., 2003).

Thirdly, cell mediated adaptive immunity was assessed using a delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) test, a standard assay in veterinary medicine (Lochmiller et al., 1993; Luster et al., 1993). Phytohemagglutinin (200 μg PHA-P, lectin from red kidney bean, Phaseolus vulgaris) was injected into each footpad and the DTH-index was calculated as the difference in swelling between the control and the PHA as a percentage of mean footpad (Mills et al., 2010).

Male bank vole T level shows moderate heritability (Mills et al., 2009) suggesting a rapid evolutionary response to selection on it, and heritabilities of dominance-related traits, as well as male mating success, have been shown to be quite high in the bank vole (Horne & Ylönen, 1998; Oksanen et al., 1999). Therefore, in a natural bank vole population with its plethora of parasites and pathogens, dominant males, with no visual signs of disease, have to have signaling viability that will be passed on to their offspring.

10.2.4 Intralocus sexual conflict

Testosterone is clearly essential for male bank vole reproductive behavior, however, if high T level had negative effects on female fitness, this would result in a sexually antagonistic hormone expression profile, unless selection has
decoupled male and female T levels (Mank, 2007). When males and females differ in their optima for a morphological, physiological, or behavioral trait that has a strong intersexual genetic correlation, the alleles of the underlying polymorphic genes are beneficial to one sex but detrimental to the other, and an intralocus conflict is borne (Bonduriansky & Chenoweth, 2009; Chippindale et al., 2001; Lande, 1980; Rice, 1984; Westneat and Sih, 2009). Intralocus conflict has the potential to generate sexually antagonistic selection affecting important evolutionary processes and has been found in bank voles (Mills et al., 2012; Mokkonen et al., 2011).

We created artificial selection lines divergent in one study for male T and in another for dominance and measured relative adult fitness using genetic paternity analyses and competition trials. We found sexually antagonistic effects in the bank vole as the reproductive fitness estimates of full siblings are negatively correlated (Figure 10.2a) (Mills et al., 2012; Mokkonen et al., 2011). In addition, a negative correlation on the fitness of opposite-sex progeny (father–daughter, mother–son) was found, such that high T sires produce sons with high fitness, whereas low T sires produce daughters with high fecundity (Figure 10.2b) (Mills et al., 2012). The specific alleles of intralocus sexual conflict are largely unknown, but we found that for bank vole fitness, sexually antagonistic selection is acting on circulating male T levels, and maintains the strategy of multiple mating in the bank vole (Mokkonen et al., 2012). Therefore, selection for dominant males produces dominant sons, but daughters with low fecundity, possibly due to non-optimal hormone (T) levels and/or resource

Fig. 10.2  (a) Intersexual regression of adult F1 fitness between brothers and sisters (litter means); selection groups and controls are shown separately.

- Groups selected for and against male T (simple linear regression: $F_{1,19} = 9.447$, $p = 0.006$, $R^2 = 0.33$; $y = -0.863x + 0.329$)
- Groups selected for and against male T (simple linear regression: $F_{1,30} = 1.085$, $p = 0.306$, $R^2 = 0.035$; $y = 0.221x - 0.004$)


(b) Mean (± SE) relative adult fitness between F1 brothers and sisters from mixed sex litters.

- = groups selected for male T (HiT/HiT), n = 13
- = groups selected against male T (LoT/LoT), n = 8
- X = control groups (mating between groups; HiT/LoT and LoT/HiT), n = 32

Sample size (n) refers to the number of litters including both sexes (means taken within a litter). Error bars indicate 1 standard error.

re-allocation affecting their fecundity. However, do dominant males produce dominant sons when the environment changes?

10.3 GEIs on male dominance in the bank vole

Vole life-history traits show strong plasticity, which may be highly advantageous in fluctuating environments (Ergon et al., 2001a). Many traits from juvenile growth rate, female sexual maturation, T level and reproductive successes are
decreased or suppressed at high population density (Bujalska, 1985; Ergon et al., 2001b; Koskela et al., 1999; Kruczek & Marchlewka-Koj, A., 1986; Mappes et al., 2008b; Oksanen et al., 2007; Ostfeld & Canham, 1995; Prévot-Julliard et al., 1999). Therefore, there is a strong possibility that the environment will have varying effects on different genotypes in the bank vole.

We investigated the effects of stable and changing rearing environments between father and son on the heritability and plasticity of male bank vole dominance (Mills et al., 2007a). Male dominance was measured (Box 10.1) from all potential fathers, who were then mated with females. Litters were cross-fostered and rearing environmental density was manipulated (Box 10.3) such that full sibs were exposed to all three rearing environments (good, control, and poor, in terms of resource availability). Once sexually mature, dominance and plasma T levels were measured in male offspring and litter size was measured in female offspring.

**Box 10.3 Cross-fostering and litter density manipulation**

Male bank voles were randomly paired for a week with females. Upon parturition, pups were sexed, individually tagged, weighed and within two days of birth the litters were cross-fostered: a foster mother’s litter was replaced with single pups from different donor mothers (Mappes et al., 1995a; Mappes & Koskela, 2004). Cross-fostering excludes any confounding effects of possible variation in post-birth maternal quality. Previous studies found no differences between the growth and survival of fostered and non-fostered pups (Mappes et al., 1995a).

Litter size was artificially manipulated by moving −2, ±0, and +2 pups to and from litters. The removal of two pups results in a greater amount of resources, such as milk, available per remaining pup, and represents a “good” rearing environment in nature. Although mothers increase their milk production after the addition of two pups, the amount received per pup is still lower than that received per pup in unmanipulated litters (Koskela et al., 2009, Koskela, unpubl. data) and enlarging a litter can have detrimental effects on pup growth (Oksanen et al., 2003). Thus, enlarged litter treatments represent “poor” rearing environments in nature, such as increased female-female competition at high population density or low food availability, where mothers would receive fewer resources and in turn provide less to their offspring (Koskela et al., 1998). The manipulation of the rearing environment consisted of a foster mother’s original litter being replaced with pups from donor mothers and took place at the same time as cross-fostering. Litter manipulations were carried out in relation to the foster mother’s original litter size. Male and female offspring from a single sire were split between the three manipulated rearing environments.

Male dominance shows considerable plasticity across different environments (Figure 10.3a) (Mills et al., 2007a). There was also a significant interaction
between paternal dominance and the rearing environment on offspring dominance, which suggests that environmental effects on dominance differed among sons from different fathers (GEI). We found a significant crossing-over of reaction norms implying that none of the three paternal groups signal the highest dominance in all environments (Schlichting & Pigliucci, 1998). In good rearing environments, highly dominant fathers sire offspring of higher dominance than offspring of subordinate fathers. However, the situation is reversed in poor rearing environments in which dominant fathers produced subordinate offspring and dominant offspring are sired by subordinate fathers. Offspring of the high and low dominance paternal groups show the greatest plasticity in dominance, that is, the greatest response to environmental change. We also found considerable plasticity in male T levels across environments (Figure 10.3b). We found a GEI between paternal dominance and the rearing environment on offspring T levels, suggesting that, in agreement with dominance, environmental effects on T level differed among sons from different fathers (Figure 10.3b).

As predicted by Greenfield and Rodriguez (2004), GEI with crossing reaction norms has the potential to render signals unreliable and reduce the genetic benefits to females from mating with dominant males. In the bank vole, signal reliability, or the genetic benefit to females from mating with dominant males, depends on the stability of environments and the environment experienced by their offspring, rather than male quality per se. Therefore, the presence of GEI also impacts the evolution of female mate preference. Whilst, the heritability of female bank vole preferences has not been measured, male signals are heritable (Horne & Ylönen, 1998; Mills et al., 2009; Oksanen et al., 1999) and here we are assuming that there is genetic covariance between them. GEI in bank voles would thus result in the male genotype no longer being paired assortatively with a female's preference and eroding signal-preference covariance.

The next part of this chapter will discuss various mechanisms that might mitigate the effects of GEI on both the net direction of sexual selection and on the genetic covariance between the signal and preference. Firstly, if both the male signal and female preference traits had roughly parallel norms of reaction, signal reliability and genetic covariance would be maintained (Greenfield & Rodriguez, 2004). Secondly, if both alternative male strategies and female preferences were present and maintained by fluctuating selection and advantage of the rare male phenotypes. Finally, by sexual antagonism, whereby the production of daughters with high reproductive success compensates for the loss in son fitness.

## 10.4 Suggestions to reconcile the disruption of the signal-preference covariance

### 10.4.1 Parallel norms of reaction for male signal and female mate preference

The disruption of genetic covariance caused by GEI may be lessened by matching variation in the male and female traits (Rodriguez & Greenfield, 2003). If the reaction norm genotypes for female mate preference respond to environmental
conditions in ways that mirror the responses of male signaling genotypes, then genetic covariance will be maintained (Greenfield et al., 2012). Yet, despite its importance for sexual selection there have been relatively few investigations into genetic variation for preference, how sensitive female preferences are to the environment or more importantly, whether this preference varies with female genotype to generate GEI for preference (Ingleby et al., 2010).

Plasticity in female mate preference has been found in the tungara frog, Pseudalmenus pustulosus and the lark bunting, Calamospiza melanocorys, across breeding seasons (Chaine & Lyon, 2008; Lynch et al., 2005). GEI for female preference functions and choosiness were found in Drosophila melanogaster, however, as yet these have not been compared with male reaction norms (Narraway et al., 2010). Variation in female mate preferences have been shown to match seasonal or ecological variation in male parental care and growth rate in collared flycatchers and soil mites, respectively (Lesna & Sabelis, 1999, Qvarnstrom et al., 2000), but it is not known whether their reaction norms match. In the lesser waxmoth, A. grisea, artificial selection on rearing temperature revealed phenotypic plasticity, GEI and ecological crossovers for female mate preference, but the reaction norms for preference pulse-thresholds in females do not match the reaction norms for male signals (Rodriguez & Greenfield, 2003). The authors predicted

Fig. 10.3  (a) Offspring dominance (mean Q ± 1 SE; see Box 10.1) produced by the three paternal groups (based on dominance) across the different rearing environments.

- = low paternal dominance (Q: 0 - 0.2): y = 0.227x - 0.014, n = 31, P = 0.024
- = medium paternal dominance (Q: 0.21 - 0.79): y = 0.06x + 0.31, n = 42, P = 0.343
- = high paternal dominance (Q: 0.8 - 1): y = -0.138x + 0.77, n = 41, P = 0.115.

A significant level of crossover between the high and middle paternal groups was identified (min (Q⁺, Q⁻) = 4.61, I = 3, P < 0.05).


(b) Offspring plasma testosterone level (mean ± 1 SE) produced by the three paternal groups (based on dominance) across the different rearing environments.

- = low paternal dominance (Q: 0 - 0.2): y = 2.199x - 1.08, n = 10, P = 0.079
- = medium paternal dominance (Q: 0.21 - 0.79): y = 0.465x + 2.675, n = 11, P = 0.542
- = high paternal dominance (Q: 0.8 - 1): y = -0.923x + 5.281, n = 12, P = 0.083.

This figure has been reproduced with permission from Mills, S.C., Grapputo, A., Jokinen, I., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Poikonen, T. (2010) Fitness trade-offs mediated by immunosuppression costs in a small mammal. Evolution, 64, 166–179.
that the preference genotypes would not favor the same signal genotypes across environments (Rodriguez & Greenfield, 2003). Additionally, a 25-year study of a population of collared flycatchers found that females only received a fitness benefit, in terms of relative recruitment success, associated with choosing highly ornamented males during drier breeding seasons, with the opposite being true during the wettest breeding seasons (Robinson et al., 2012). Furthermore, a lack...
of covariance between female mate preference and male ornament, as well as low heritability of female choice in collared flycatchers has previously been found (Qvarnstrom et al., 2006), suggesting that sexual selection within a population can be highly variable and dependent upon prevailing weather conditions (Robinson et al., 2012). Therefore, the current evidence for matching female preferences with male signals across environments appears weak.

It would be interesting to measure whether female bank vole preference differs as a function of their rearing environment, as well as across stable and unpredictable environments. Theory predicts that the evolution of phenotypic plasticity depends upon the degree of spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the environment, which is clearly present in bank vole populations, as well as the presence of cues that reliably indicate future changes in the environment (Alpert & Simms, 2002; Hairston & Munns, 1984; Levins, 1968; Lively, 1986). In terms of multi-annual cycles, the cues that females could use to accurately predict future environmental conditions that her offspring would experience, could include population density tracking, for example via the concentrations of the stress hormone, corticosterone, that in some species increases with increasing territorial intrusions (Comendant et al., 2003). In terms of seasonal density changes, females could use day length as a cue for the advancing winter. Therefore, cues are a possibility, however, for the reaction norms of female mate preference to match those of the male signal, female mate preference

Fig. 10.3 (continued)  (c) Relationship between three fitness-related life-history traits across immune groups. Fitness represents the reproductive success of males, which also incorporates mortality. Survival represents end of breeding season survival. Reproductive effort represents the ranked dominance of males measured in sterile laboratory conditions prior to the field experiment. All traits were made relative by dividing by the population mean and standardized to have a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. Sample sizes for fitness and survival = 112 and for reproductive effort = 56.

- - - = fitness (field reproductive success which also incorporates mortality)
- - - - - = survival (alive or dead at the end of the breeding season)
- - - - - - - - - = reproductive effort (high to low dominance ranked 4 to 1).

This figure has been reproduced with permission from Mills, S.C., Grapputo, A., Jokinen, I., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Poikonen, T. (2010) Fitness trade-offs mediated by immunosuppression costs in a small mammal. Evolution, 64, 166–179.

(d) Fitness relationship between a brother’s dominance and his sister’s reproductive success (litter size) when reared in poor (enlarged litter) environments. Mating and reproductive successes were standardized for the whole population.

\[ y = -0.35x + 0.087, \quad n = 24, \quad P = 0.045, \quad R^2 = 0.17. \]

would need to be plastic and adaptive and currently this has not been tested. However, intra-sexual selection, via male-male competition, is still an important component of male mating success, and depends on current environmental conditions, rather than those in the future (Figure 10.3a). Therefore, whilst female mate preference may be plastic and change to adapt to future environmental conditions, male dominance cannot. Dominant males will still pass on genes that make their offspring more sensitive to poor conditions. Nevertheless, being a polygynandrous species (Mills et al., 2007b), female bank voles with plastic mate preferences may be able to mate with some subordinate males. Such multiple matings, coupled with cryptic female choice (see Section 10.4.3), may still enable the female preference-male signal covariance to be passed on to at least some of her offspring. However, as female plastic mate preferences...
would be unable to fully compensate for the behavior of dominant males, the strength of sexual selection will still be decreased.

### 10.4.2 Alternative strategies for male signal and female preferences

The presence of crossover interactions of reaction norms between paternal groups for male dominance in the bank vole (Figure 10.3a,b) suggests that there may be alternative male strategies in different environments (Mills et al., 2007a). In addition, another study found differences in male dominance and mobility, as well as immune response and survival between bank voles groups with naturally high and low T levels, also advocating the presence of two alternative reproductive strategies (Mills et al., 2009). Furthermore, the presence of genetic covariance between male T and male immune response (Schroderus et al., 2010) coupled with the knowledge that males with divergent immune responses have equal fitness (Figure 10.3c) (Mills et al., 2010), may also demonstrate the presence of evolutionary stable signaling strategies (ESS) for reproductive effort and survival (Mills et al., 2010). However, future work should be carried out to verify these hypotheses.

Males from one strategy, high dominance, may sire attractive offspring that may have matured faster or have fed better in stable, favorable environments, but whose genes may be more sensitive when the rearing environment deteriorates from father to son. This may occur following an increase in population density (peak year), causing a decrease in available food or an increase in parasites; such offspring may not be able to afford the costs of dominance (Mills et al., 2009). A second strategy, low dominance, may be unable to compete with dominant males in stable, favorable environments, however, males of low dominance may be less sensitive when rearing environments deteriorate and thus, may be able to bear the costs of dominance. We predict that variation in selection for immune-related traits (Schroderus et al., 2010) during the multi-cyclic density fluctuations (Kallio et al., 2009) might be driving these different male dominance strategies as pathogen pressure (Soveri et al., 2000) and immunological parameters of voles (Huitu et al., 2007) differ between peak and crash years. Males with low genetic resistance to disease (but high dominance) are only handicapped by reproductive effort when parasites were present (Mills et al., 2010). Therefore, the increased susceptibility to disease during peak years of the population cycles may be driving the reduced fitness of sons sired by high dominance males in poor rearing environments.

If females show different mate preferences for the two alternative strategies (high and low dominance), which via non-random mating are linked to the two signals of each male strategy, then genetic covariance would not be weakened by GEI. But would such a preference for low dominance evolve when females only receive indirect benefits every 3–4 years at peak density? Kokko et al., (2007) highlighted that preference for rare male phenotypes can aid preference evolution. In the bank voles, the majority of the time, the high dominance male
strategy would be most common in the population, as high dominance males outcompete low dominance males and thus will have greater access to females. However, during a peak in population density, offspring of low dominance males will outcompete high dominance male offspring, and the low dominance strategy would become more common in the population. As environmental conditions improve, high dominance male offspring will once again outcompete low dominance offspring. Therefore, the two strategies could be maintained in the population through fluctuating selection (e.g., Roff, 1997). Furthermore, during both of these periods, the opposite male strategy would be rare and would have a rare strategy advantage (Kokko et al., 2007). The reproductive effort of bank voles is indeed negatively frequency-dependent in semi-natural field enclosure experiments (Mappes et al., 2008b), thus providing an interesting example of the indirect genetic effect of an individual’s neighbors on bank vole population dynamics and life history evolution. Each dominance strategy would be common during different phases of the cycle, during which the genetic covariance between signal and preference would be maintained. It has recently been hypothesized that only a small probability of signal reliability may be enough to maintain female preference for specific male characters (Narraway et al., 2010). Whether once every 3–4 years is great enough to maintain such female preference, remains to be tested, but is an exciting possibility.

10.4.3 GEI on female reproductive success and sexual conflict

Sexually antagonistic effects have been found in the bank vole (Figure 10.2a,b) (Mills et al., 2012; Mokkonen et al., 2011) and this intralocus sexual conflict may have interesting consequences for the disruption of GEI-signal-preference genetic covariance. In our example of the GEI in bank voles, the dominance genes are sensitive to poor environmental conditions. If the environment is poor, selection against these genes will decrease T levels and reduce dominance in sons. The T levels of daughters may also be reduced, and their fecundity restored, should these genes have similar effects on them in poor environments. The GEI study also highlighted a negative relationship between the reproductive successes of full siblings when they had been reared in poor environments (Figure 10.3d) (Mills et al., 2007a). Under poor rearing environments male offspring from dominant fathers had low mating success, therefore according to intralocus sexual conflict their female siblings would have high reproductive success and vice versa for offspring of subordinate fathers. Does a GEI therefore also exist for female reproductive success? This data suggests that there is considerable potential, and weak evidence (Mills et al., 2007a) that GEI for female reproductive success is also present. Therefore, when male signal reliability is lost, that is, females gain no indirect fitness benefits from sons, indirect benefits may be recovered via daughter fitness. Some of these daughters would still be predicted to carry the same preference gene(s) that their mother carried, and if good environmental conditions were restored, such as during the crash phase of the density cycle, then male signal viability and thus reliability, would be manifest again in
grandsons or great-grandsons. The focal mother would receive indirect genetic benefits after all, via daughter fitness (due to intralocus sexual conflict) as well as grandson/great-grandson fitness. More importantly, the GEI-signal-preference genetic covariance would be maintained.

We also found evidence for cryptic sex ratio bias in bank voles, as litters of high-quality females were biased towards daughters and low-quality females towards sons (Mills et al., 2012). When sire genotypes have differential effects on sons versus daughters, female side blotched lizards, Uta stansburiana, and Gouldian finches, Erythrura gouldiae, are capable of altering progeny sex ratio accordingly (Calsbeek & Bonneaud, 2008; Calsbeek and Sinervo, 2004, Cox & Calsbeek, 2010; Katsuki et al., 2012; Pryke & Griffith, 2009). It appears that female bank voles also use this strategy (Mills et al., 2012). This result raises the possibility that whilst females cannot fully control sexual selection due to the important role played by male–male competition, females could still actively bias their litter sex ratio to increase their fitness either through daughters or sons as a function of current and future environmental conditions.

10.5 Summary

Bank vole population densities fluctuate with distinct density cycles in northern Fennoscandia (Korpela et al., 2013) creating a variable selective environment, and a GEI has been found on male bank vole dominance (Mills et al., 2007a). Therefore, under changing environmental conditions, the correlation between father and offspring fitness may vary. The change in offspring fitnesses may be explained by selection on different immune-related traits that differ between peak and crash years (Huitu et al., 2007). The GEI for male fitness results in a disruption of the genetic covariance between the signal of male quality and the female’s preference for it. But, environmental variation is also likely to play an important role in how this species responds to the breaking up of this covariance. We discuss three possible mechanisms that may maintain the genetic covariance between signal and preference. Firstly, the current literature suggests that it is unlikely that the reaction norms of female preferences will match those for male signals across environments. In bank voles, plastic female preferences will never fully compensate for the GEI of male dominance due to the significant role played by intra-sexual selection in male mating success. Secondly, bank voles show a phenotypic and genetic trade-off between immune response and T (Mills et al., 2009; 2010; Schroderus et al., 2010) and alternative male reproductive strategies in different environments may exist that are likely driven by differing risks of disease and density. If female mate preferences varied such that each alternative male strategy had evolved alongside a female preference for it, then genetic covariance would be maintained. Finally, the unreliability of male signals might be mitigated by the indirect genetic benefits of daughters via intralocus sexual conflict (Mills et al., 2012), coupled with the presence of a GEI for female reproductive success (Mills et al., 2007a). The bank vole provides a unique system in which evolutionary processes, such as GEI, can be studied.
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